



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/751,708 | 01/05/2004 | William L. Berg | B044 P00773-US1 | 2267 |

3017 7590 04/20/2006

BARLOW, JOSEPHS & HOLMES, LTD.
101 DYER STREET
5TH FLOOR
PROVIDENCE, RI 02903

EXAMINER

SILBERMANN, JOANNE

| | |
|----------|--------------|
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|

3611

DATE MAILED: 04/20/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| Office Action Summary | Application No. 10/751,708 | Applicant(s) BERG, WILLIAM L. | |
| | Examiner Joanne Silbermann | Art Unit 3611 | |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 February 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3,5-8,10-12,14,16,17,19 and 20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3,5-8,10-12,14,16,17,19,20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
2. Claims 7 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
3. In claim 7, the details of the storage compartment appear to be the same as those recited in claim 1, from which claim 7 ultimately depends.
4. Claim 19 depends from claim 18, which has been canceled. For purposes of examination, claim 19 is assumed to depend from claim 17.
5. Correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.
7. Claims 1, 3, 6-8, 11, 12, 14, 17 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abraham, US #1,068,621 in view of Cole, US #214,105.
8. Abraham teaches a storage and framing unit comprising frame 5 including a front surface and a rear surface (Figures 1 and 2), an aperture in the front surface for viewing

Art Unit: 3611

a display (Figure 1) and display 12 held therein. A storage compartment (Figure 5) has a rear wall (8, Figure 2) and side walls (Figure 5) having opening 11 therein for insertion and removal of displays 12. Flanges 9 attach the storage compartment to the rear surface of the frame. Closure elements (binder rails) 13 have photo pages attached thereto and close off the opening when inserted in the storage compartment (Figure 3) and are adjacent the aperture.

9. Abraham does not teach the storage compartment as being slidably attached to the frame, however this is well known in the art, as taught by Cole. Cole teaches a frame (A) and a storage compartment (D) that are slidably attached by mounting rails and receiver channels (B, C). It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to attach the storage compartment of Abraham using slidable means (as in Cole) so that the storage compartment may be easily removed.

10. Claims 17 and 19 are considered to be an equivalent alternative, and are thus obvious in view of Abraham and Cole.

11. Claims 5, 10, 16 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abraham and Cole as applied to claims 3, 8, 14 and 19 above, and further in view of Kim, US #6,868,630.

12. Abraham and Cole do not teach using magnets however this is well known in the art. Kim teaches 15 and 16 for holding a display in place. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to utilize magnets in the frame of Abraham (as modified by Cole) to secure the photo display pages in place.

Response to Arguments

13. Applicant's arguments filed 03 February 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The closure elements of Abraham bind the photo sheets and close off the opening where the displays are inserted (Figure 3, Abraham). Regarding Cole, Applicant's arguments have been considered, however, the limitations of the claim have been met, regardless of how the frame must be disturbed to change the picture.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joanne Silbermann whose telephone number is 571-272-6653. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 5:30 - 2:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley Morris can be reached on 571-272-6651. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).


Joanne Silbermann

Application/Control Number: 10/751,708
Art Unit: 3611

Page 5

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3611

js
14 April 2006